

City of Newton, Massachusetts

Department of Planning and Development 1000 Commonwealth Avenue Newton, Massachusetts 02459 Telephone (617) 796-1120 Telefax (617) 796-1142 TDD/TTY (617) 796-1089 www.newtonma.gov

Candace Havens Director

WORKING SESSION MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 12, 2013

TO: Alderman Marcia T. Johnson, Chairman

Members of the Zoning and Planning Committee

FROM: Candace Havens, Director of Planning and Development

James Freas, Chief Planner, Long-Range Planning

RE: #423-12: ALD. JOHNSON AND SANGIOLO requesting that the Director of

Planning & Development and the Commissioner of Inspectional Services review with the Zoning & Planning Committee their analysis of the FAR regulations and assessment of the possible impact on housing construction and renovation in the

City.

MEETING DATE: July 17, 2013

CC: Board of Aldermen

Planning and Development Board Donnalyn Kahn, City Solicitor

INTRODUCTION

In February 2011, the Board of Aldermen adopted new residential floor area ratio (FAR) regulations under Ordinance Z-77, which changed both the way gross floor area is calculated and the allowed FAR in each residential zoning district. FAR is the ratio of building area to lot area and is used to regulate the mass of structures. Ordinance Z-77 sought to change FAR to more accurately reflect existing conditions, to make FAR easier to apply and enforce, and result in new residential construction in keeping with its surroundings. The new regulations became effective on October 15th, 2011, and were preceded and followed by extensive education of the building professional community. Petition #423-12 requests that the Planning Department and the Inspectional Services Department provide their assessment of the possible impacts of the FAR regulations since they went into effect in 2011.

The Planning Department has submitted information relative to the possible impacts of the new FAR regulations a number of times since their adoption, most recently in October 2012 marking the one year anniversary of the rule change, and in a follow-up meeting in November 2012. The general finding at that time was that the possible impacts of the new regulations were difficult to discern based on the limited time that had passed since the regulations became effective and broader market fluctuations. Any impact on the rate of home construction or renovation in Newton was impossible to accurately identify, as it is difficult to measure something that may not be happening; by comparison, where that can be seen, it is difficult to ascertain a causal relationship between the rule change and change in the number of building permits issued. Further, the rate of construction is so small in Newton that any design trends that may have been sparked by the rule change are still not greatly apparent.

While there are certainly more special permits being requested as a result of the FAR requirements than there were last year, that appears to be more an issue of market trends than a result of the particular change. The Department's recommendation remains that addressing the root issues of oversized homes and neighborhood character that have resulted in the current FAR regulations should be taken up as part of the broader zoning reform effort envisioned in Phase 2.

ANALYSIS

In previous reports, the Planning Department has presented data demonstrating a gradual rise in the number of building permits and special permit requests since the new FAR regulations went into effect in October 2011. This rise is consistent with the increasing rate of home construction seen in the greater Boston region over this same time period, which is itself a product of an apparently improving housing market and economy. This data, and data reflecting the time period between last October and now is presented below.

| Comparison of years before and after change (Year is Oct. 15 to Oct. 14) | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 (as of July 1) |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Total number of building permits | 2,790 | 2,816 | 2,544 |
| Total number of new home permits | 73 | 82 | 54 |

| Comparison of special permits (SP) for FAR (Oct 15 to Oct 14) | 2010 | -2011 | 2011- | -2012 | 2012-2013 (as of July 1) | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Percent Number of Total Number | | | | Number | Percent of Total | |
| Approx. number of special permits | 40 | - | 60 | - | 44 | - | |
| Residential SP for FAR | 6 | 15% | 14 | 23.3% | 8 | 18% | |
| Residential SP for FAR only | 3 | 7.5% | 6 | 10% | 7 | 16% | |
| Permits for new home construction | 73 | - | 82 | - | 54 | - | |
| New Residential SP for FAR | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3.7% | 0 | 0% | |
| New Residential SP for FAR only | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2.4% | 0 | 0% | |

The data clearly shows an increase in the number and percentage of special permit requests to exceed the allowed FAR. It is difficult to draw any significant conclusions from this data though, given the short time frame and limited number of examples. Some portion of the increase in special permits is attributable to the improving economy as more people decide to invest in home improvements. Overall, an increase in FAR-related special permit requests should not necessarily be an unexpected outcome as one of the purposes of the new regulations was to attempt to regulate massing such that new homes and the expansion of existing homes resulted in buildings consistent with the existing neighborhood. Given the variety of housing types found in Newton's existing neighborhoods, the fact that many homes are already built to or over what would be allowed under the FAR regulations, and a trend in the City towards larger homes, some increase in the number of special permits following the effective date of the new regulations might have been expected.

The details relative to the eight special permit requests filed between October 15, 2012 and July 1, 2013 can be found in the appendix. All would have required a special permit prior to the October2011 change. Most of these homes already met or exceeded the FAR requirements such that any addition would have required them to apply for a special permit. The other notable trend in special permit requests for FAR in this time period is that they are almost all for relatively small additions that did not trigger any additional special permit requirements, in contrast to the previous year where many of the special permit requests were for significant alterations of existing homes or new homes.

Beyond impacts on the number of construction or renovation projects in the City, the other potential issue relative to the FAR rule change the Committee has discussed in the past is any impact on building design. Many have speculated that the new rules might lead to design decisions such as flatter roofs in order to maximize the available useable square footage that is counted towards the FAR limit. There are a number of factors that a designer or builder would need to weigh in deciding whether or how to manipulate the design towards such a goal. First, almost universally, the resulting attractiveness of the house remains important. Additional square footage in an unattractive house may not be worth it in the end. Second is the question of how difficult it is to get a special permit. The more simple and predictable this process is, the less likely designers and builders will feel the need to manipulate the project to avoid having to seek a special permit. In the approximately year and a half since the rule took effect it is clear that many designers and builders are factoring FAR into their design decisions in ways that allow them to avoid having to apply for a special permit. Each case is unique though and there is no indication of any large-scale negative trend in building design thus far. Again, as has been noted before, the rule has still only been in place for a short time and the sample is still relatively small.

NEXT STEPS

The Planning Department and Inspectional Services Department continue to monitor development activity in the City and any potential impacts from FAR regulation change. As stated in previous reports on this topic, the Planning Department's recommendation remains that consideration of changes to the FAR regulations be considered in the context of the comprehensive zoning reform Phase 2 efforts. The Land Use Committee is also paying close attention to the FAR issue and will be discussing ways of improving their ability to review these projects through guidance, policy, or rule change. Staff welcomes any questions or concerns relative to the FAR regulations on which the Committee would like additional staff research.

| Date | Address | Zone | Waivers | Size of Lot (sq. ft.) | Allowed FAR | Bonus | Existing FAR | Proposed FAR | Existing (sq. ft.) | Size of Addtion (sq. ft.) | Number of sq. ft. over allowed FAR | SP under old rule | SP under interim rule | Notes |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Oct- | 56 Waldorf Road | MR1 | FAR, ext NC | 5,403 | 0.57 | No | 0.36 | 0.60 | 1,945 | 1,297 | 162.09 | Yes | Yes | Large two-story addition on a small lot |
| Nov- | 14 Loring Street | | FAR | 8,500 | 0.40 | No | 0.48 | 0.54 | 4,080 | 510 | 1,190.00 | Yes | Yes | Replace existing screened porch with large home office on existing large house, modest lot |
| | 143 Lincoln Street | SR2 | FAR, change NC | 11,775 | 0.36 | No | 0.24 | 0.42 | 2,826 | 2,120 | 706.50 | Yes | Yes | Doubling the size of a modest two-family to creat two attached dwellings |
| | 39-41 Clarendon Street | MR1 | FAR | 11,130 | 0.50 | Yes | 0.55 | 0.58 | 6,122 | 334 | 890.40 | No | Yes | Enclose existing porches on a two large attached dwellings, built under the 50% demo rule in 2006 |
| | 43 Hillside Avenue | SR2 | FAR, ext NC | 8,365 | 0.41 | No | 0.54 | 0.66 | 4,517 | 1,004 | 2,091.25 | Yes | Yes | 3.5-story addition to large Victorian on a lot sloping to the rear |
| an-12 | 1841 Commonwealth Avenue | SR2 | FAR, ext NC | 8,475 | 0.40 | No | 0.34 | 0.48 | 2,882 | 1,187 | 678.00 | Yes | Yes | Two-story addition and one car garage replacing existing two car garage |
| | 111 Pleasant Street | SR2 | FAR, ext NC | 5,628 | 0.45 | No | 0.72 | 0.76 | 4,052 | 225 | 1,744.68 | Yes | Yes | Enclose rear porches on nonconforming two-family and structure on small lot |
| | 112 Exeter Street | SR1 | FAR, 3rd story | 16,080 | 0.32 | Yes | 0.29 | 0.36 | 4,663 | 1,126 | 643.20 | Yes | Yes | Submitted under pre-Oct 1 rules, large split level ranch looking for 3rd story additi |
| | 112-116 Dedham Street #4 (new) | SR3 | FAR, rear lot sub | 15,033 | 0.24 | No | n/a | 0.36 | n/a | 5,412 | 1,803.96 | Yes | Yes | Large home on rear lot subdivision with FAR waive under Section 30-15, Table for rear lots |
| Apr- | 150 Countryside | | | | | | | | | | | | | Large new 2.5-story home with some exposed basement and enclosed |
| | Road (new) 35 Norwood | SR1 | FAR | 25,000 | 0.26 | No | n/a | 0.28 | n/a | 7,000 | 500.00 | No | No | porches Large new 2.5 story home modest lot with some |
| 12 | Avenue (new) | SR2 | FAR | 9,573 | 0.39 | No | n/a | 0.45 | n/a | 4,308 | 574.38 | Yes | Yes | exposed basement Conversion of two-family tattached dwellings, new |
| • | 258 Nevada Street | MR1 | FAR, ext NC | 11,122 | 0.48 | No | 0.31 | 0.57 | 3,448 | 2,892 | 1,000.98 | Yes | Yes | addition nearly doubling s of structure Demolition of existing |
| | 97 Hillside Avenue | SR2 | FAR | 12,551 | 0.35 | No | 0.48 | 0.52 | 6,024 | 502 | 2,133.67 | No | Yes | garage, new attached gara on large 4-story Victorian |



| Aug- 12 | 54 Oxford Road | SR3 | FAR | 7,681 | 0.48 | Yes | 0.71 | 0.73 | 5,454 | | 154 | 1,920.25 | No | Yes | Large nonconforming house on modest lot making small addition |
|------------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|--------|------|-----|------|------|-------|-------|-----|----------|-----|-----|--|
| Dec- | 37 Columbine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Road | SR1 | FAR | 15,415 | 0.33 | Yes | 0.33 | 0.3 | 36 | 5,125 | 44 | 0 462.45 | Yes | | Addition and deck |
| Jan-13 | 55 Alban Road | SR2 | FAR | 13,020 | 0.35 | No | 0.38 | 0.40 | 4,999 | | 190 | 651.00 | Yes | | Addition to west corner of house, fill in 2nd story deck to expand master suite |
| Feb- | 105 Nonantum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Renovate attic into master |
| 13 | Street | SR2 | FAR | 5,872 | 0.45 | No | 0.41 | 0.53 | 2,400 | | 678 | 469.76 | Yes | | bedroom and bath suite |
| Feb- | 12 Fellsmere Road | SR2 | FAR | 8,500 | 0.40 | No | 0.39 | 0.50 | 3,338 | | 945 | 850.00 | Yes | | Addition to west corner of house, fill in 2nd story deck to expand master suite |
| Mar- 13 | 132 Hammond Street | SR2 | FAR | 13,561 | 0.34 | No | 0.36 | 0.43 | 4,905 | | 880 | 1,220.49 | Yes | | 1-story addition to rear, adding family room, kitchen area, deck. 2nd garage bay behind existing single car garage |
| Apr- | 99-101 Atwood | | | · | | | | | · | | | , | | | Expand 1st floor unit to include master bedroom, bathroom, screened-in porch at rear, built over |
| 13 | Road | MR1 | FAR | 7,434 | 0.54 | Yes | 0.56 | 0.65 | 4,831 | | 510 | 817.74 | Yes | | carport for 2 cars |
| Apr- | 140 Church Street | MR1 | FAR, decrease OS, exceed LC | 9,070 | 0.51 | Yes | 0.76 | 0.77 | 6,896 | | 109 | 2,358.20 | Yes | | Add mudroom off rear kitchen entry. Fill in corner in rear of kitchen |
| Apr- | 20 Burrage | CDO | FAR | Г 771 | 0.45 | No | 0.45 | 0.49 | 2 700 | | 210 | 172 12 | Voc | | 2-car garage in lower |
| 13 | Road | SR2 | FAK | 5,771 | 0.45 | No | 0.45 | 0.48 | 2,789 | | 210 | 173.13 | Yes | | basement level |